

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/731,951 12/10/2003		Robert Z. Litwin	38190/268504 6760		
826	7590	09/28/2005	EXAMINER		
ALSTON &			BASICHAS, ALFRED		
BANK OF A		. PLAZA STREET, SUITE 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOT		•	,	3749	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/731,95	10/731,951 LITWIN, ROBERT 3		Z.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Alfred Bas	ichas	3749			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIANS OF THE MASSIAN	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . 2 Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	tb)⊠ This action is n for allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-40</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>7-40</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) b the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/731,951 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Species II is acknowledged.

 Applicant asserts that claims 1-6, 12, 16, and 17 read on the elected group and species.

 Nevertheless, a close look at the claims makes it clear that claims 12, 16, and 17 read on Species IV figs. 10-12. Accordingly, only claims 1-6 appear to read on the elected group and species.
- 2. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Statz (4,422,434), which shows, among other things, all of the claimed limitations. Statz

Application/Control Number: 10/731,951 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

shows a receiver 10, a concave mirror 24, and an optical lens 22, wherein the lens and mirror are rigidly attached. It should be noted that it is inherent that the mirror and lens will direct different amounts of solar radiation toward the receiver, as it is unlikely that the two would direct the exact amount. Further, as practically anything may be deemed removable by the broadest most reasonable interpretation removability satisfies adjustability.

- 5. Claims 1, 2, and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sletten (4,171,695), which shows, among other things, all of the claimed limitations. Sletten shows a receiver 15, a parabolic mirror 16, and an optical fresnel lens 13, wherein the lens and mirror are rigidly attached. It should be noted that it is inherent that the mirror and lens will direct different amounts of solar radiation toward the receiver, as it is unlikely that the two would direct the exact amount. Further, as practically anything may be deemed removable by the broadest most reasonable interpretation removability satisfies adjustability.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shipman (1,683,266), which shows, among other things, all of the claimed limitations. Shipman shows a receiver 10, a rotatable (via worm gear 24,25) parabolic mirror 11-16, and an optical lens 1-7, wherein the lens and mirror are rigidly attached, the mirror and lens will direct different amounts of solar radiation toward the receiver (see at least fig. 1). Further, removability of the lens, as shown in figs. 1 and 2 satisfies the broadest most reasonable interpretation satisfies adjustability.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,951 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. These references disclose solar collectors with many, if not all, of the claimed components. Nevertheless, in order to avoid overburdening the applicant with redundant rejections, these references were not applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 571 272 4877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

September 20, 2005

A**lffed B**ásichas Primary Examiner